1 2

> 3 5

6 7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

16 17

15

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

**FEES OWING** 

The applicant respectfully observes that your applicant made payment of \$650 for a small entity, on March 20, 2003, and that the PTO 2038 form for payment by Credit Card was included with that prior transmission. Your applicant respectfully observes that this filing is within the first month following the Dismissal of November 12, 2003. Your applicant believes that no additional fees are owing at this time. Should fees be owing the Office is authorized to withdraw fees from the Deposit account of Liebler, Connor & Ivey, P.S. account number 50-0607.

### REMARKS

## Amendments to the Specification

An Amended Specification was submitted September 22, 2003 which is drawn from a careful consideration of the original brief specification and the drawings. The several drawings, unnumbered in the original application and comprising production drawings, contain considerable detail which, when considered in conjunction with the original specification, are respectfully urged to the Examiner to support the textual descriptions seen in the Substitute Specification. It is believed that no new matter has been added.

Application No. 09/041,685

Certificate of facsimile Official Filing on December 5, 2003 to Office of Petitions

with copy to Examiner Fred Prince

by Eloyd E

# Examiner's Advisory Action of April 16, 2003

Your applicant respectfully urges the Examiner to reconsider the Examiner's conclusions as stated in the Advisory Action. Your applicant respectfully urges the Examiner to review the recitation of the Brief Description of the Drawings re: Figure 1. At the outset, your applicant acknowledges that the Brief Description re: Figure 1 must be amended and states that the lengthy statement is merely for the purpose of binging attention to the several features not addressed in the text of the original specification but which are presented in considerable detail in the drawings.

The Examiner states, in the Advisory Action, that "...There is no description in the original specification of media retained by a "depression" or securing the vane via "adhesive" or a "friction fit". Your applicant respectfully directs the Examiner to Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 5A where either a "depression" or a "slot" is seen at (150). It is respectfully urged that while there is no reference to adhesive or friction fit, that one of ordinary skills in the arts would know to achieve a "fixing" by some "fixing means" including likely adhesives and or friction.

The Examiner states that "The original specification states that the media is an "eight-vaned structure" and that there is no reference to fewer than eight vanes. The Examiner is respectfully directed to the drawings of the inner core depicting vanes at figures 2 and 3 and in particular to Figure 2 at the upper right corner, essentially beneath

Certificate of facsimile Official Filing

on December 5, 2003 to Office of Petitions

with copy to Examiner Fred Print

by Floyd W. Ivey.

PClicy/(Wciss\_Cullinan/PetislonRevival/RequestReconsideration031205.FINAL 031205.wr

Application No. 09/041,685

RECEIVED

DEC 1 02003

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** 

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24 25

26

27

1 the title TUBE DETAIL. There, as well as in Figure 2, the Examiner will observe vanes 2 in a six vane configuration and vanes bearing dashed lines suggesting alternative 3 structures to a strict six and eight vaned structures. Your applicant urges the Examiner to appreciate the teaching of vaned inner cores within tubes rather than the teaching of 5 б "eight-vaned" inner cores within tubes. 7 The Examiner comments that the claims in the amendment call for an inner core 8 placed into a tube and is no longer directed toward vortices and that "...The "core in tube" 9

subject matter was not explicitly described or claimed and requires further searching/consideration. Your applicant agrees that such was not claimed but was shown in the original drawings.

## SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS AND REMARKS

The applicant has submitted a Request for Reconsideration of the Dismissal of Petitioner's Petition for Revival and a Request for Continued Examination. Accordingly, the applicant has made a good faith attempt place the application in condition to be returned to examination. Your applicant requests the Office of Petitions to return this application to prosecution and to withdraw the said objections and rejections and to allow the claims as set forth.

Certificate of facsimile Official Filing on December 5, 2003 to Office of Petitions

Application No. 09/041,685

by Floyd E

with copy)to Examiner Fred-Prince

DEC 1 0 2003

RECEIVED

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

NPetitionRevivalNequestReconsideration@1205.FINAL\_031205.wpd

Certificate of facsimile Official Filing on December 5, 2003 to Office of Petitions

Application No. 09/041,685

24 25

26

27

28

with copy to Examiner Fred Prince

of Weiss.Cullinan/PetitionRevival/RequestReconsideration 031205.FINAL 031205.wpd